Date: July 25, 2024

Time: 7:30pm ~ brought to order at 7:35 pm

Location: CKE Hall

Present - (Highlight yellow):



Glen Haslhofer	Debra McArthur	<mark>Jessica Kopitar</mark>	Doug McNeill	Claudia Woolner
Zonita Haggis	Suzanne Brown	Lance Titchkosky	Jim MacLean	
Rodney Smith	Matthew Watt	Harry Schaepsmeyer	Alanna Roberts	

Observers / Guests:

Christa Zaharychuk (NPC - City of Calgary)	Kourtney Penner (Ward 11)	Nagwan Al-Guneid (MLA Calgary-Glenmore)
	Sonja Sahlen (Ward 11 Office)	Harrison Taylor (Office of MLA Nagwan Al-Guneid)

MOTION: To approve the current agenda. Made by Zonita Haggis, seconded by Deb McArthur. All in favor. Non-opposed. Motion carried.

MOTION: To approve May Board meeting minutes. Made by Matthew Watt, seconded by Doug McNeill. All in favor. Non-opposed. Motion carried.

MOTION: To add Claudia Woolner as a Member at Large. Made by Doug McNeill, seconded by Jim MacLean. All in favor. Non-opposed. Motion carried.

Ward 11 Office Report: Kourtney Penner/Sonja Sahlen

• Report-N/A

City of Calgary NPC Report: Christa Zaharychuk

• Report-N/A

Monthly Hall report: Jennifer Sherstabetoff

• Report-N/A

Treasurer's Report: Matthew Watt

• Nothing significant of note. Revenues slightly off in the summer.

MOTION: Motion to approve the Treasurer's Report. Made by Zonita Haggis, seconded by Rodney Smith. All in favor. Non-opposed. Motion carried.

Planning Report: Doug McNeill

 Letter sent to City representatives in July following consultation with the Board about a significant modification to the Glenmore Landing Development that was brought to the Board's attention by the Palliser Bayview Pumphill Community Association. Copies to the Mayor, all Councillors and the Community Associations of Palliser Bayview Pumphill, Haysboro and Oakridge.

CKE had previously submitted a letter in October 2023 expressing some concern about the process used to advance the development and the need to give due weight to the concerns of residents most directly affected by the development, while taking an overall position of neutrality on the project as regards CKE.

In summary, the CKE July letter stated that the major modifications to the project were a change in scope that CKE believed warranted a new public consultation process. That letter also noted that the increased density and scale of the project reinforced the concerns originally noted by residents most directly impacted by the project with respect to such matters as: (i) egress from the project area and other traffic matters; (ii) the need for a hydrogeological study to assess risk to the water table and the Glenmore reservoir associated with the development and the contemplated multi-level underground parking structure; and (iii) the potential impacts on shadowing due to the significant increase in height in the expanded development area.

Claudia Woolner noted at the meeting that the submitted CKE response did not consider sufficiently concerns of residents of Eagle Ridge, who were more likely to be affected more directly by the project than residents of Chinook Park and Kelvin Grove.

We will be posting information about the project periodically on the CKE website.

• The Community Association was contacted by a resident with objections to a development on Cherovan Drive respecting such concerns as the proposed development exceeding the City's guidelines for height, setback and lot coverage. Made a submission to the City expressing support for consultation with the concerned residents about their concerns about the development.

Social Report: Suzanne Brown

• N/A

General Discussion:

- Discussed the fire alarm upgrade to accommodate requirements for a potential expansion of the Hopscotch program in the context of a cost that was much greater than originally contemplated. Appreciation of the importance of this client and the benefit to the Community of retaining this service in the Community. Action Items as noted below.
- Discussed the parking in front of the area immediately in front of the entrance to the Community Hall. The City does not believe there is any need to put up any special signage because the normal 5m rule respecting parking near fire hydrants would apply.
- Enmax grant for lighting was turned down. The lighting upgrade was originally approved. To

discuss again at the August meeting because of an increase in cost relative to the amount originally approved by the Board.

• Preliminary discussion about strategic priorities for the year that requires a more complete discussion-possibly at a dedicated meeting.

Ideas discussed briefly included: trying to increase community engagement; a storage shed in character with the hall; a patio; electronic signage; a speaker series; a game night; reintroducing the community BBQ; planting trees; art; a speaker series; community merchandise (e.g., hoodies, sweatshirts); and reaching out to the community for ideas.

• Members present executed Conflict of Interest form required by the Conflict of Interest And Disclosure Policy. Action Item for members not present at next meeting.

MOTION: Motion to adjourn the meeting. Made by Deb McArthur, seconded by Rodney Smith. All in favor. Non-opposed. Motion carried.

What	Who	When
Assess whether there is a potential grant for the upgrades for the fire alarm.	Glen	Before next meeting
Discussion with Hopscotch re fire alarm upgrade.	Glen	Before next meeting
Assess if casino funds could be used for the firm alarm upgrades.	Matt	Before next meeting
Execute Conflict of Interest form if not present at July meeting	Suzanne, Lance, Alanna and Harry	At next meeting

Action Items

Meeting Adjourned at 9:32 pm. Minutes taken and completed by Jim MacLean.

Next Meeting: August 22, 2024